

**EARLY CHILD DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH**

<http://earlylearning.ubc.ca/publications/>

**Publications for Aug 2014 (and pre-prints for Dec and beyond)**

**CONTENTS**

- [HELP FACULTY and AFFILIATE](#)
  - [BIOLOGY/NEUROBIOLOGY \(“early experiences”\)](#)
  - [CHILDCARE, ECD SERVICES](#)
  - [CHILD DEVELOPMENT \(GENERAL\)](#)
  - [CHILDREN’S ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, BUILT ENVIRONMENT](#)
  - [GLOBAL](#)
  - [INDIGENOUS](#)
  - [LOW INCOME, BARRIERS TO ACCESS, HEALTH \[IN\]EQUITY](#)
  - [MIDDLE YEARS](#)
  - [PARTNERSHIPS, HUBS, INTEGRATED CENTRES](#)
  - [POPULATION HEALTH](#)
  - [POLICY, PRACTICE, INTERVENTIONS](#)
  - [SCREENING](#)
  - [SCHOOL READINESS](#)
  - [MEDIA](#)
-

## HELP FACULTY and AFFILIATE

1. Liu Y, Zumbo BD, Wu AD. **Relative importance of predictors in multilevel modeling.** J Modern Stat Methods. 2014;13(1):2-22. Available from: <http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/jmasm/vol13/iss1/2>.
2. Oberle E, Schonert-Reichl KA, Guhn M, Zumbo BD, Hertzman C. **The role of supportive adults in promoting positive development in middle childhood: a population-based study.** Can J School Psychol. 2014. Available from: <http://cjs.sagepub.com/content/early/2014/06/20/0829573514540116.abstract>.
3. Oberle E, Schonert-Reichl KA, Hertzman C, Zumbo BD. **Social-emotional competencies make the grade: Predicting academic success in early adolescence.** J Applied Dev Psychol. 2014;35(3):138-47. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0193397314000240>.

## BIOLOGY/NEUROBIOLOGY (“early experiences”)

1. Fortier P, Van Lieshout RJ, Waxman JA, Boyle MH, Saigal S, Schmidt LA. **Are orchids left and dandelions right? Frontal brain activation asymmetry and its sensitivity to developmental context.** Psychol Sci. 2014;25(8):1526-33. Available from: <http://pss.sagepub.com/content/early/2014/06/23/0956797614534267.abstract>.
2. Houston SM, Herting MM, Sowell ER. **The neurobiology of childhood structural brain development: conception through adulthood.** Curr Top Behav Neurosci. 2014;16:3-17. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24357437>.
3. Nelson HJ, Kendall GE, Shields L. **Neurological and biological foundations of children’s social and emotional development: an integrated literature review.** J Sch Nurs. 2014;30(4):240-50. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24257899>.
4. Rilling JK, Young LJ. **The biology of mammalian parenting and its effect on offspring social development.** Science. 2014;345(6198):771-6. Available from: <http://www.sciencemag.org/content/345/6198/771.short>.
5. Tottenham N. **The importance of early experiences for neuro-affective development.** Curr Top Behav Neurosci. 2014;16:109-29. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24264369>.

## CHILDCARE, ECD SERVICES

1. Haug P. **The public-private partnership in ECEC provision in Norway.** European Early Childhood Educ Res J. 2014;22(3):366-78. Available from: [http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1350293X.2014.912899#\\_U\\_91HtKwLYg](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1350293X.2014.912899#_U_91HtKwLYg).
2. Lemay Llluc, Bigras N, Bouchard C. **Relating child care during infancy to externalizing and internalizing behaviors in toddlerhood: how specific features of child care quality matter depending on a child's gender and temperament.** Int J Early Childhood. 2014;46(2):143-70. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs13158-014-0107-8#page-1>.
3. Simkiss DE, Stallard N, Thorogood M. **A systematic literature review of the risk factors associated with children entering public care.** Child Care Health Dev. 2013;39(5):628-42. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23210455>.
4. Stein A, Malmberg LE, Leach P, Barnes J, Sylva K, the FT. **The influence of different forms of early childcare on children's emotional and behavioural development at school entry.** Child Care Health Dev. 2013;39(5):676-87. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2214.2012.01421.x>.

## CHILD DEVELOPMENT (GENERAL)

1. Jeon L, Buettner CK, Hur E. **Family and neighborhood disadvantage, home environment, and children's school readiness.** J Fam Psychol. 2014. Available from: <http://psycnet.apa.org/index.cfm?fa=buy.optionToBuy&id=2014-35409-001>.
2. Newland LA. **Supportive family contexts: promoting child well-being and resilience.** Early Child Dev Care. 2014;184(9/10):1336-46. Available from: [http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03004430.2013.875543#\\_U\\_91d9KwLYg](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03004430.2013.875543#_U_91d9KwLYg).

## CHILDREN'S ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, BUILT ENVIRONMENT

### GLOBAL

### INDIGENOUS

1. Genuis SK, Willows N, Jardine C. **Through the lens of our cameras: children's lived experience with food security in a canadian indigenous community.** Child Care Health Dev. 2014. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/cch.12182/abstract>.
2. McQuaid RJ, Bombay A, McInnis OA, Matheson K, Anisman H. **Childhood adversity, perceived discrimination, and coping strategies in relation to depressive symptoms among First Nations Adults in Canada: the moderating role of unsupportive social interactions from ingroup and outgroup members.** Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol. 2014. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25090152>.

### LOW INCOME, BARRIERS TO ACCESS, HEALTH [IN]EQUITY

### MIDDLE YEARS

### PARTNERSHIPS, HUBS, INTEGRATED CENTRES

1. Worton SK, Caplan R, Nelson G, Pancer SM, Loomis C, DeV. Peters R, et al. **Better Beginnings, Better Futures: theory, research, and knowledge transfer of a community-based initiative for children and families.** Better Beginnings, Better Futures. 2014;23(2):135-43. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1132055914000040>.

### POPULATION HEALTH

### POLICY, PRACTICE, INTERVENTIONS

1. Fosse E, Bull T, Burstrom B, Fritzell S. **Family policy and inequalities in health in different welfare states.** Int J Health Serv. 2014;44(2):233-53. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24919301>.
2. Lombardi CM, Coley RL. **Early maternal employment and children's school readiness in contemporary families.** Dev Psychol. 2014;50(8):2071-84. Available from: <http://www.apa.org/pubs/journals/releases/dev-a0037106.pdf>.

3. McLeigh JD, McDonnell JR, Melton GB. **Community differences in the implementation of strong communities for children.** Child Abuse Negl. 2014. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25092232>.
4. Shrimali BP, Luginbuhl J, Malin C, Flournoy R, Siegel A. **The Building Blocks Collaborative: Advancing a life course approach to health equity through multi-sector collaboration.** Matern Child Health J. 2014;18(2):373-9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23807714>.
5. Weber RB, Grobe D, Davis EE. **Does policy matter? The effect of increasing child care subsidy policy generosity on program outcomes.** Children & Youth Services Review. 2014;44:135-44. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0190740914002217>.

## SCREENING

### SCHOOL READINESS

1. Ashton E. **I've got my EYE on you: schooled readiness, standardized testing, and developmental surveillance.** Canadian Children. 2014;39(1):3-24. Available from: <http://cayc.ca/content/i%E2%80%99ve-got-my-eye-you-schooled-readiness-standardized-testing-and-developmental-surveillance>.

### MEDIA (HELP general)

#### MEDIA (Kershaw, Generation Squeeze)

**Time to look at child care.** Prince George Citizen. 2014 2014 Jul 29.

We have transitioned from a society of single breadwinners to one in which two-income families are an expectation - even a necessity - rather than a lifestyle option. In Canada, a dual income two-parent family averages \$94,100 a year after taxes; a similar single-income family averages \$71,100. For single-income lone-parent families the discrepancy is sharper: a male lone-parent single earner averages \$55,100; a female-lone parent single-earner averages \$41,400.

This is the background against which to consider the Surrey Board of Trade's business argument for a comprehensive strategy for providing affordable daycare for families across British Columbia - but particularly in hyper-expensive regions like Metro Vancouver where Vancouver Sun reporter Tara Carman found examples of families paying up to \$1,400 a month for child care. That's about what you'd pay in tuition at medical school. For a female single-income lone parent it represents 40 per cent of average income. The \$10-a-day model proposed by the Board of Trade would reduce that burden to less than six per cent.

Leaving aside the Board of Trade's case, based on research by the University of B.C.'s **Paul Kershaw**, that stress from work-life conflict over child care access costs health and child welfare systems in Canada \$3.7 billion a year, there's a compelling business case for freeing up the largely female segment of the labour force that's now excluded by child care demands.

In fact, we have evidence from Quebec, which initiated low-cost universal-access early childhood care as early as 1997 with fees of \$5 a day; they rose to \$7 a day in 2004. A study by taxation and economics experts at three universities says this brought nearly 70,000 additional mothers into the province's workforce, increased overall female employment by 3.8 per cent and boosted Quebec's gross domestic product by \$5 billion a year. Taxes returned to the federal and provincial governments from Quebec's subsidized low-cost child care investment significantly exceed its cost, the researchers found.

Digital analysis by The Vancouver Sun's Chad Skelton finds 436,995 stay-at-home parents in B.C., 88 per cent of whom are women. At a time when business and the provincial government cite looming labour shortages and temporary foreign workers meet some needs, does it make economic sense to exclude a pool of potential workers already here simply because local child care is unaffordable?

The province, predictably, says the \$1.5 billion cost of such a program is beyond its means and points to its own plan to provide monthly assistance of \$55 per child under six to families with net incomes of \$100,000 a year or less. But this amounts to \$2.75 a day per child to address costs that in Metro can exceed \$60 per day and does little to address the dearth of spaces.

As with public education and health care, society should seriously consider early childhood care as the same kind of potential generator for economic activity and development that benefits Quebec. However, the solution must be multiparty, not simply a short-sighted socialization of costs while privatizing profits.

If the public is to invest tax dollars in developing low-cost child care programs, it's not farfetched to expect corporate B.C. to step up to the plate with improved options for employees. Nor does the equation need to exclude small businesses - there's no reason enterprises operating in a common commercial area couldn't create co-operative options for lower-income employees. And government can always provide tax credits to offset costs.

With goodwill, imagination and investment, affordable child care could generate disproportionate economic returns, as the Surrey Board of Trade so eloquently argues. It's a timely idea and one worth exploring by the provincial government, which has the reach, resources and expertise to co-ordinate strategic thinking and to facilitate broader discussion among parents, public institutions and private business.

Credit: The Vancouver Sun